04432-0001

BEFORE THE

)

)

CLERK'S OFFICE

MAY 12 2011

STATE OF ILLINOIS

Pollution Control Board

DRIGINAL

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MORGAN SOUTHERN COMPANY,

Petitioner,

VS.

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, (UST Fund Appeal)

PCB 06-17

Respondent.

NOTICE OF FILING

To: James G. Richardson Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Division of Legal Counsel 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 Bradley P. Halloran, Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this 12nd day of May 2011, the following was filed electronically with the Illinois Pollution Control Board: **Petitioner's Unopposed Motion for Further Extension of Time,** which is attached and herewith served upon you.

MORGAN SOUTHERN COMPANY

By: s/Elizabeth S. Harvey One of its attorneys

Elizabeth S. Harvey John P. Arranz SWANSON, MARTIN & BELL, LLP 330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 3300 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Telephone: (312) 321-9100

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned non-attorney, state that I served a copy of the foregoing to counsel of record via U.S. Mail at 330 North Wabash Avenue, Chicago, IL 60611, at or before 5:00 p.m. on May 12, 2011.

Sanette M. Podlin

Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109, I certify that the statements set forth herein are true and correct.

[X]

ALE OF BITY IE CO

SIC04432-0000 577ATS based loaned based

BEFORE THE

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

MORGAN SOUTHERN COMPANY,	
Petitioner,	
VS.	
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,	

PCB 06-17 (UST Fund Appeal)

Respondent.

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR FURTHER EXTENSION OF TIME

Petitioner MORGAN SOUTHERN COMPANY ("petitioner"), through its attorneys Swanson, Martin & Bell, LLP, moves the hearing officer for a further extension of time to respond to respondent the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY'S ("I EPA") motion for summary judgment.

- 1. IEPA has filed a motion for summary judgment in its favor. Petitioner received that motion on April 7, 2011.
- 2. On May 3, 2011, the hearing officer granted petitioner's unopposed motion for a second extension of time, so that petitioner's response is due on May 13, 2011.
- 3. Petitioner seeks a further extension, to and including June 6, 2011, in which to file a response.
- 4., This motion is not made for purposes of delay, but to allow petitioner sufficient time to fully analyze and act in response to IEPA's motion. No party will be prejudiced by the extension of time.

5. Counsel for IEPA has no objection to petitioner's requested extension, and has authorized petitioner's counsel to so state.

WHEREFORE, petitioner MORGAN SOUTHERN COMPANY moves for an extension of time, to and including June 6, 2011, to respond to (EPA's motion for summary judgment, and for such other relief as the hearing officer deems appropriate.

MORGAN SOUTHERN COMPANY

By:/s/ _____/

Elizabeth S. Harvev_One of its attorneys

Date: May 12, 2011

Elizabeth S. Harvey John P. Arranz Swanson, Martin & Bell, LLP 330 North Wabash Avenue Suite 3300 Chicago, Illinois 60611 312/321-9100